Middle Miocene drowned ramp in the vicinity of Marija Bistrica (Northern Croatia)
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Abstract
Fossiliferous Middle Miocene deposits from the surroundings of Marija Bistrica (north-east of Zagreb) transgressively overly older pre-Cenozoic bedrocks. Fossils from shallow marine environments are in most cases preserved as bioclasts, while deep marine calcareous oozes characterize the pelagic marls. The age of the transgressive sequence is estimated on the basis of planktic biota from marls (foraminifera, nannoplankton and pteropods) as the Badenian NN5 Nannozone. The following palaeoenvironments can be distinguished or presumed on the basis of biota and sedimentary features: (1) beach characterized by polymictic conglomerates with rhodolith-rich carbonate matrix; (2) oyster banks, recognized from secondarily found oyster clusters; (3) lagoons marked with compact bioclastic deposits and rhodolith-halimeda assemblage; (4) patch-reefs recognized from the surrounding bioclastic deposits; (5) shallow subtidal mäerl beds preserved as loose bioclastic deposits and (6) distal slope argillaceous marls with pelagic biota. Palaeoenvironmental analyses indicate rapid drowning, most probably corresponding to the transgression during the Middle Badenian TB 2.4 3rd order transgressive-regressive sequence.
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1. Introduction
The area of Southern and South-East Europe during the Miocene epoch survived a variety of stress events triggered by a combination of intense tectonics and global climate changes (Cloetingh et al., 2005; Pavlic, 2005; Kovac et al., 2007, 2017 and references therein; Tomljenovic et al., 2008; Malvic and Velic, 2011; Matecno and Radivojevic, 2012; Horvath et al., 2015; Balazs et al., 2016; Pavlic and Kovačić, 2018). The Middle Miocene Climatic Optimum (approximately between 18 and 14 Ma) caused the global highstand and flourishing of marine life, while the forthcoming cooling, followed by the growth of ice sheets, led to an extinction wave of terrestrial and aquatic biota (Shackleton and Kennett, 1975; Zachos et al., 2001; Ivanov et al., 2002; Böhme, 2003; Pekar and DeConto, 2006; Holbourn et al., 2007 and references therein; Herold et al., 2011). All these factors particularly influenced areas along the European collision zones as well as those situated along the shores of the Miocene oceans and seas, including the shores of the Paratethys Sea (Rögl 1998, 1999; Hudáčková et al., 2000; Harzhauser and Piller, 2007; Piller et al., 2007; Kovac et al., 2007, 2017).

One such realm is the territory of today’s Northern Croatia, whose plains and depressions among the uplifted mountain chains were flooded by the Paratethys Sea, palaeographically marking its southwestern margin and forming the Pannonian Basin System (PBS) (Pavlic, 2001, 2005; Vrsaljko et al., 2006; Kovač et al., 2007, 2017; Ćorić et al., 2009; Sremac et al., 2016; Pezelj et al., 2016; Bošnjak et al., 2017; Pavlic and Kovačić, 2018) (see Figure 1).

Several authors discussed the number (two or three) and timing of the Badenian marine transgressions (e.g. Rögl, 1998, 1999; Harzhauser and Piller, 2007; Kovač et al., 2007; Piller et al., 2007; Rögl et al., 2007; Pezelj et al., 2016; Bošnjak et al., 2017 and references therein; Pavlic and Kovačić, 2018). Erosional processes during the emersions and early phases of superimposed transgressions destroyed or camouflaged evidence of previous transgressive-regressive sequences (Rögl et al., 2007). Nevertheless, all authors correlate the youngest Badenian transgressive-regressive sequence with the Late Badenian TB 2.5 3rd order sequence (within the NN6 Nannozone) (e.g. Haq et al., 1988; Hardenbol et
The aim of this study is to determine the age and palaeoenvironments of the studied deposits, and to prove the existence of the older TB 2.4 transgressive-regressive sequence, which biostratigraphically corresponds to the NN5 Nannozone, in the studied area.

2. Geological setting

The research area during the Miocene period belonged to the rift-type North Croatian Basin (NCB), situated at the south-western margin of the Neogene Pannonian Basin within the Central Paratethys realm. Its development can be divided into two successive phases: Early to Middle Miocene syn-rift phase characterized by extensional subsidence and Middle Miocene to Quaternary post-rift phase characterized with thermal subsidence (Pavelić and Kovačić, 2018). The uplifted structure known today as Medvednica Mt. (see Figures 1, 2, 3a) already represented an uplifted terrain during the Middle Miocene (Pavelić, 2001; Avanić et al., 2003; Vrsaljko et al., 2006; Tomljenović et al., 2008 and references therein), strongly affected by a combination of tectonic movements and climate driven transgressive-regressive sequences sensu Haq et al. (1988) and Haq and Al-Al-Khataib (2005).

Miocene transgressive successions in the area of Mt. Medvednica were studied by several authors (e.g. Gorjanović-Kramberger, 1908; Kranjec et al., 1973; Šikić et al., 1978, 1979; Basch, 1981, 1983; Tomljenović, 2002; Vrsaljko et al., 2006; Ćorić et al., 2009; Velić and Vlahović, 2009; Brlek et al., 2016; Bošnjak et al., 2017; Pezelj et al., 2017). Underlying bedrocks can be of various age (in most cases Palaeozoic, Triassic or Cretaceous), and transgressive sequences exhibit diverse features, depending on the palaeo-relief and transgression dynamics. Different transgressive-regressive sequences have been determined in the Badenian sediments of Medvednica Mt. The second Badenian sequence TB 2.4, within the NN5 Nannozone, is defined in the central part of the Medvednica Mt. (Čučerje area) by several authors (e.g. Kochansky, 1944; Avanić, 1997; Ćorić et al., 2009; Pezelj, 2015; Bošnjak et al., 2017), and presumed in the north-eastern part (Marija Bistrica and Orešje area) by Brlek et al. (2016) and Bošnjak (2017). The youngest Badenian transgressive-regressive sequence TB 2.5, within the NN6 Nannozone, is recorded in a wide area of Medvednica Mt.; in the south-western part (Dolje area) by various authors (e.g. Vrsaljko et al., 2006; Bošnjak et al., 2014; Pezelj et al., 2016), in the central part (Čučerje area) by e.g. Pezelj et al. (2007), and in the north-eastern area (Marija Bistrica and Orešje area) by e.g. Vrsaljko et al. (2006), Pezelj and Sremac (2010), Brlek et al. (2016) and Pezelj et al. (2017).

After the publication of the Basic geological map of this area (Šikić et al., 1978, 1979; Basch, 1981, 1983) in 1998, new Middle Miocene outcrops, including the studied section, were exposed along the road Adamovec–Marija Bistrica (see Figures 2 and 3).

3. Materials and methods

3.1. Field work

Sampling along the road Marija Bistrica–Adamovec in the north-eastern part of Medvednica Mt. (see Figure 3) took place during 2015, 2016 and 2017. The Middle Miocene deposits crop out along the road between 45°58′54.04″N 16° 6′53.56″E and 45°58′58.27″N 16° 6′52.62″E, in a 60 m long succession (see Figure 3), but some parts of the outcrop are covered by soil and vegetation. Direct contact of Miocene basal conglomerates

Figure 1: Geographic (a) and palaeogeographic (b) setting of the study area (palaeogeography after Kováč et al., 2007; from http://www.azu.hr/en-us/E-P/Geological-overview-onshore)
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Figure 2: Geological map of Medvednica Mt. (after Tomljenović, 2002) with marked position of the study area. Legend: 1a – orthometamorphic deposits, mainly green schists, of Silurian to Upper Triassic low-grade metamorphic complex; 1b – Silurian to Upper Triassic parametamorphic deposits containing slates, phyllites, metapelites, metasandstones, metacarbonates and marbles; 2 – Lower Triassic sandstones, siltites, shales, ooid limestones and calcarenites; 3 – Middle Triassic dolomites with limestone, shale, chert and pyroclastic intercalations; 4 – Upper Triassic stromatolitic dolomites; 5a – Mesozoic ophiolitic complex with prevailing magmatic deposits (basalts, diabases, gabbros and peridotites); 5b – Mesozoic ophiolites, containing mainly sedimentary deposits (shales, greywackes, radiolarites, conglomerates and limestones); 6 – Aptian to Cenomanian shallow-marine clastites and limestones, and deep-marine calcarenites, calcudites and marls; 7 – Uppermost Cretaceous to Palaeocene fluvial and shallow-marine clastic deposits, reef limestones, micrites, and deep-marine calcarenites, calcudites, siltites and marls; 8 – Lower Miocene fluvial deposits, lake clastites and limestones with coal intercalations (Ottnangian), and marine clastites and marls (Carpathian); 9 – Middle Miocene shallow-marine clastites, reef limestones, calcarenites and marls (Badenian), and brackish marls, limestones and clastites (Sarmatian); 10 – Upper Miocene brackish limestones, clastites, marls and clays (Pannonian), and marls and clastites with coal intercalations (Pontian); 11 – Pliocene and younger gravels, sands and clays; 12 – different types of faults; 13 – axis of anticlines and synclines; 14 – normal and transgressive boundaries.

Figure 3: Geographic position of the study area on NE Medvednica Mt. (left); observation points (Stops T1-T8) of the Middle Miocene sequence exposed along the road Marija Bistrica–Adamovec (right); (Google Earth).
with bedrock (red sandstone) is visible at Stop T1, while contact of basal conglomerates with rhodolith deposits is exposed at T5. Bedding planes can be measured in marls, at Stops T7 and T8. Marls are dipping towards the west at an angle of 50–70°. As layers are oriented more or less parallel to the road, direct measuring of deposit thickness is not possible. A sedimentary log is partly reconstructed on the basis of superposition and measurable bed thickness at several stops along the road (see Figures 3b and 4).

During the field work, macrofossils were collected for palaeontological analyses. Samples of rhodalgal deposits and conglomerates were taken for preparation of polished surfaces and thin sections. Visually different types of marls were sampled in the field and prepared for wet sieving.

3.2. Laboratory work

Laboratory work was performed in the laboratory of the Division of Geology and Palaeontology, Department of Geology, Faculty of Science in Zagreb.

Polished slabs were prepared from four samples of basal conglomerates to reveal the size, shape, composition and origin of the pebbles. Thin sections were prepared from pebbles and “Lithothamnion Limestone”.

Marl samples from Stops T6 (1A, 1B) and T7 (2B), weighing ca. 0.3 kg, were crushed into small pieces and soaked in water. After 24 hours, the material was washed out through a sieve system comprising 0.5, 0.2, 0.125 and 0.63 mm sieves.

Selected planktic and benthic foraminiferal taxa were hand-picked for oxygen and carbon isotope analysis per-

![Figure 4: Sedimentary log of the Miocene deposits in the vicinity of Marija Bistrica. Lithotypes: (1) basal conglomerates with rhodolith-rich calcarenite matrix; (2) oyster clusters; (3) compact bioclastic deposits with rhodolith-Halimeda assemblage; (4) compact bryozoan-rhodalgal-coral bioclastic deposits; (5) loose rhodolith-rich bioclastic deposits and (6) argillaceous marls with pelagic biota.](image-url)
formed at the Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics, Lausanne, Switzerland.

Calcareous nannofossil probes were prepared by standard method of Bown and Young (1998). Suspended particles were separated by centrifuge; residue was spread onto glass slides, dried on a hot plate, covered by a cover slip and fixed using Canada balsam.

3.3. Photography

Microfossil analyses were performed at the Department of Geology, University of Zagreb. Microfossils and microfacies were studied using an Olympus–SZX10 stereo-microscope and a Leica Laborlux 11 polarizing microscope, photographed by a Canon EOS 1100 camera and saved by a Quick PHOTO CAMERA 3.0 software.

Nannofossils were studied by a Zetoplan Reichert polarizing microscope and photographed by a Canon EOS 400D.

The collected macrofossils were cleaned and photographed using a CANON EOS 6D camera at the Croatian Natural History Museum.

3.4. Collections

Samples of basal conglomerates, polished slabs and thin sections are stored in the Division of Geology and Palaeontology, Department of Geology, University of Zagreb (thin section temporary numbers MB.1, MB.2, MB.3 and MB.8a,b).

Bivalves are part of the Croatian Natural History Museum Collection (CNHM), with temporary numbers MB4-1, MB4-A4_1, MB7 and GR17.

4. Palaeontological and isotope analyses

4.1. Nannoplankton

A rich and diverse calcareous nannoplankton (coccolithophores) assemblage was extracted from grey and yellow marls collected at Stops T6 (1A, 1B) and T7 (2B) (see Table 1).


Coccolithophores are excellently preserved and represent 80–90% particles in each prepared probe. The most common species is *Coccolithus pelagicus*, representing almost half of the determined specimens. Genus *Calcidiscus*, with three species (see Table 1, Figure 5a,b,c) is also very common, as well as genera *Reticulofenestra* and *Pontosphaera* (see Table 1, Figure 5j).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calcareous nannoplankton</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>AGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OLDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Calcidiscus leptoporus</em></td>
<td>1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Calcidiscus premacintyrei</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Calcidiscus tropicus</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coccolithus miopelagicus</em></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Coccolithus pelagicus</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Helicosphaera carteri</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Helicosphaera euphratis</em></td>
<td>1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Helicosphaera intermedia</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Helicosphaera cf. wallichii</em></td>
<td>1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pontosphaera discopora</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pontosphaera multipora</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pontosphaera plana</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Reticulofenestra perplexa</em></td>
<td>1A, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilicus</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhabdosphaera clavigera</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sphenolithus conicus</em></td>
<td>1A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sphenolithus heteromorphus</em></td>
<td>1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sphenolithus moriformis</em></td>
<td>1A, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umilicosphaera rotula</em></td>
<td>1A, 1B, 2B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Transversoponitis sp.</em></td>
<td>1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Nannofossils extracted from marls at Stops T6 (1A, 1B) and T7 (2B) (after Repac, 2017) and their stratigraphic ranges. Redeposited taxa marked by darker colour. Coccolithophores particularly important for biostratigraphic determination of studied marls are *Coccolithus miopelagicus* and *Sphenolithus heteromorphus*, marked by bold text in Table 1. Species *Helicosphaera euphratis*, if not redeposited, might narrow the stratigraphic span to the very beginning of the zone NN5.
4.2. Calcareous algae

Calcareous algae are the most common fossils at the studied section (Tripalo, 2017). They are present between Stops T2 and T5 (see Figure 3b) and were determined after Basso et al. (2008), Sarkar (2015), Chela-rutu and Bucur (2016) and Coletti et al. (2016).

Red coralline algae are the major carbonate producers present as subcircular, crustose or, scarcely, elongate rhodoliths (see Figure 6a–g). Some rhodoliths bear visible conceptacles and could be determined as Hydroli-thon lemoinei (Miranda), Hydrolithon sp. and Spongites fructiculosus Kützing (see Figure 6).

Green algae from the family Halimedaceae appear sporadically as bioclasts in the basal part of the section (Stop T2).

4.3. Foraminifera

Foraminiferal tests are present in rock samples all along the studied section. Rotaliid benthic foraminifera Cycloclypeus sp., Amphistegina (cf. A. lobifera) (see Figure 7) and Elphidium sp. were determined between Stops T2 and T5. Amphisteginas are more common than other foraminifera, sometimes exhibiting mechanical erosion and corrosion (see Figure 7b). Cross sections of globigerinoid planktic foraminifera appear sporadically in the matrix of basal conglomerates (Stops T1, T5), as well as in clasts of micritic limestones.

Determination of foraminifera from thin sections was based upon Heidari et al. (2013) and Di Martino et al. (2015).

Planktic foraminifera (Orbulina suturalis Brönnimann, Orbulina universa d’Orbigny, Globigerina bulloides d’Orbigny, Globigerinoides sp. div.) dominate in assemblages from marls at Stops T6, T7 and T8, associated with benthic taxa: Heterolepa dutemplei (d’Orbigny), Cibicidoides sp., Lagena striata (d’Orbigny), Glandulina ovula d’Orbigny, Uvigerina macrocarinata Papp & Turnovsky, Uvigerina sp., Cibicidoides sp. div., Elphid-i um sp., Lenticulina sp., Nodosaria sp. and Frondicu-laria sp.

Specimens of planktic (Orbulina suturalis, Globige-rina bulloides, Globigerinoides sp.) and benthic foraminifera (Elphidium sp. and Cibicidoides sp.) picked at Stop T7 were used for carbon and oxygen stable isotope analyses in order to determine possible palaeotem- peratures and stratification of the sea (Repac, 2017). Palaeotemperature calculations performed by Repac (2017) indicated a diageneric overprint and gave no sig-nificant result for the studied area.

4.4. Bivalvia

Badenian sedimentary rocks all around Medvednica Mt. comprise rich and diverse malacofauna which was studied in detail by Kochansky (1944), Kochansky-Devidé (1957) and Bošnjak et al. (2017).
Figure 6: Different shapes of rhodoliths in bioclastic grainstone to rudstone from the studied section: subcircular (a,e,f); crustose (b,c,d) and elongate (g). Taxa with conceptacles are determined as: Hydrolithon sp. (a), Hydrolithon lemoinei (Miranda) (b) and Spongites fructiculosus Kützing (e). Vermetid gastropod overgrowing rhodolith is marked by rectangle (f). Thin sections MB.1; MB.8a,b, Stop T5.

Figure 7: Benthic (a, b) and planktic (c) foraminifera from the Middle Badenian deposits of Marija Bistrica: a, b) Amphistegina (cf. A. lobifera) in different stages of preservation. Its shape is typical for increased water energy and light intensity, according to Beavingtone-Penney and Racey (2004). Corrosion of inner whorls is clearly visible on fig. b). Thin section MB.8b, Stop T5; c) Globigerinoid foraminifera from the matrix of coastal conglomerate. Thin section MB.1, Stop T7.
In the study area, bivalve shells, molds and casts were collected between the Stops T4 and T5. Determination of bivalves was based upon Studencka (1986), El-Hedeny (2005), Hoşgör (2008), De Bortoli and Hladilova (2015) and La Perna et al. (2017).

Large oysters (3 whole valves and several fragments) were found laterally, 2 m northwards from the basal conglomerates at Stop T5. This part of the succession is covered by vegetation. Two oysters were determined as Crassostrea grypoides (Schlotheim) (see Figure 8a) and one specimen as Ostrea sp. (see Figure 8b). Some valves bear clionid boring traces (see Figure 8b).

Bivalves Arca sp. and Megacardita sp. were determined from bioclastic grainstone at Stop T4 (see Figure 9). Bivalve borings (Gastrochaenolites and Entobia trace fossils) were observed in the basal part of the section.

4.5. Bryozoa

Bryozoans are almost as common as coralline algae (Tripalo, 2017). Bifoliate and branched forms predominate in bioclastic grainstone to rudstone facies (see Figure 10), while large irregularly shaped (see Figure 15) and lunuliform bryozoan colonies occur in floatstones.
4.6. Other fossils

Among other fossils, important findings of pyritized limacinid pteropods in marls (Stops T7, T8) were described by Bošnjak et al. (2017).

Echinoid spines are quite common, mostly of diadematoïd and cidaroid origin (see Figure 11a–c). They were determined according to Kroh and Nebelsick (2010) and Di Martino et al. (2015).

Sponge spicules, solitary corals, barnacle particles (see Figure 11d), gastropods (see Figure 11e), scaphopods, brachiopods and ostracods are also present.

5. Lithotypes

Polymictic conglomerates, oyster clusters, loose and compact bioclastic deposits and marls occur at the study area (see Figures 12–16).

5.1. Conglomerates

The beginning of the Miocene succession is characterized by an up to 2 m thick layer of polymictic conglomerates. In the basal part of the layer they are clast-supported while in the upper horizon they become matrix-supported. Their packstone to grainstone matrix is composed of coralline algae, bryozoans (including freeliving lunulitiform taxa), pellets, peloids and scarce corals (see Figures 12 and 13).

Occasionally, thin-shelled gastropods and pelagic foraminifera occur within the matrix (see Figure 7c).

The clasts are mostly well rounded, sized up to 4 cm in diameter. They are composed of diabase, reddish-brown and green sandstone, grey limestone with scarce pelagic foraminifera, black limestone, quartz and chert. Individual carbonate clasts, as well as corals and oyster shells, are often intensely bored by date-shells (Lith-
ophaga) and clionid sponges, or encrusted by red algae and bryozoans (see Figures 12 and 13). Branched bryo-
zoans sometimes occur within the Gastrochaenolites (= date shell) borings (see Figure 13). Similar bioeroded
pebbles occur at the base of the Middle Miocene trans-
gressive sequence in Gornje Orešje quarry, ca 10 km to
the East (Brlek et al., 2016; Moro et al., 2016).

Pebbles originate from the weathered Palaeozoic and
Mesozoic basement which prevails in the study area
(Šikić et al., 1978, 1979; Tomljenović, 2002).

5.2. Oyster clusters

Large oyster shells found near Stop T5 are sometimes
preserved in clusters. Clionid borings are found on one
shell (see Figure 8b).

5.3. Compact bryozoan-algal bioclastic grainstone
to rudstone facies

The most compact, reef-like deposits are exposed
at Stop T5. At the first sight they look like a typical
“Lithothamnnion Limestone”. They comprise a variety of
biota, including halimedas and corallines, mollusks, bry-
ozoans and echinoderms. Microfacies analyses show a
grainstone to rudstone microstructure (see Figure 14).

Skeletal grains, particularly Bryopsidales (Halimeda)
and bryozoan fragments, are in most cases fragmented
and coated with cortoid envelopes. Bioclasts are ce-
mented with coarse-grained sparry calcite. Spheroidal
rhodoliths exhibit less pronounced traces of mechanical
erosion, while encrusting and branching forms are sub-
ordinate and present as crushed fragments.

Among macrofossil bioclasts, calcite oyster shell
fragments remain undissolved (see Figure 8), while
aragonite-shelled bivalves Arca sp. and Megacardita sp.
(see Figure 9) are present only as molds.

5.4. Compact bryozoan-algal-coral floatstone

Deposits with bioclasts larger than 2 mm clearly dif-
fer from the previous type of facies. They appear spa-
radically as a lateral facies of the previously described
grainstone.

Cyclostome bryozoan colonies are larger and irregu-
larly-shaped in this facies, whereas solitary corals (Fla-
bellum? sp.) are scattered within the matrix. Bioclasts
are sometimes bioeroded by date mussels or clionid
sponges (see Figure 15). Small benthic and planktic fo-
raminifera and minute thin-shelled gastropods are pre-
served in the matrix, as well as within the borings.

5.5. Loosely packed bioclastic deposits

Rhodolith beds, in older literature described as “Litho-
thamnnion (previously: Lithothamnium) Sandstones”
represent the most loosely packed bioclastic deposits at
the studied outcrop. They occur in the southern part of
the section and seem to laterally replace the grainstone
lithofacies, or partly lie over it. They are heavily weath-
ered and it is hard to prepare a thin section from this
facies.

Rhodoliths in this lithofacies are in most cases small-
ner than 2 mm; although they can sometimes exceed this
dimension, forming a packstone to floatstone sedimen-
tary rock (see Figure 16). Dominant corallines are

Figure 11: Macrofossil fragments in bioclastic grainstone: a–c) cross sections of different types of echinoid spines; d) barnacle; e) gastropod. Thin sections MB.8a,b, Stop T5.
Figure 12: Polished sections of basal Miocene conglomerates from the studied locality, Stop T5. Reddish-brown elongate pebbles (P) represent sandstones (? Permian or Lower Triassic) from the bedrocks (see Figures 2 and 4). Green-coloured, less rounded fragments are diabases (ββ), also present in the study area (see Figures 2 and 4). Dark grey, larger pebbles of pelagic limestones with traces of bioerosion, Gastrochaenolites-Entobia ichno-assemblage (K) are probably of Cretaceous age.

A detail marked by rectangle is shown in Figure 13.

Figure 13: Photomicrograph of conglomerates with a large angular fragment of pelagic limestone in the lower part possibly of the Cretaceous age (K), with geopetally filled Gastrochaenolites boring traces (original upward position marked by arrows), rhodoliths (R) and bryozoans, some of which grew inside the date mussel burrow (B). Position of thin section is marked by white rectangle in Figure 12. Thin section MB1, Stop T5.

Lithothamnion crispatum Hauck and Spongites sp. (Tritapalo, 2017). Other fossils are less common, but bryozoans, echinoderms and small benthic foraminifera were also recorded in this facies.

5.6. Marls

Grey to yellowish-grey marls occur in the northern part of the succession and represent the youngest lithostratigraphic unit at the studied section (see Figure 4). Their contact with rhodolith beds and/or basal conglomerates is not visible due to the dense vegetation.

A rich coccolithophore assemblage was found in the finest sediment fraction (see Table 1). Fractions larger than 0.063 mm comprise 85% or more bioclasts, with up to 15% lithoclasts. The most common fossils are planktic foraminifera, with up to 80% of all fossils, accompanied with small benthic genera. The following foraminiferal taxa were determined: Orbulina suturalis Brönnimann, Orbulina universa d’Orbigny, Globigerina bulloides d’Orbigny, Globigerinoides sp. div., Heterolepa dutemplei (d’Orbigny), Cibicidoides sp., Lagena

Figure 14: Algal-bryozoan grainstone with rhodoliths (R), Halimeda (H), bryozoans (B), gastropods (G) and echinoderms (E). Slide MB.8a, Stop T5.
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striata (d’Orbigny), Glandulina ovula d’Orbigny, Uvigerina macrocarinata Papp & Turnovsky, Uvigerina sp., Elphidium sp., Lenticulina sp., Nodosaria sp. and Fron- dicularia sp. The amount of orbulinid planktic foraminifera slightly decreases in younger horizons (Re-
pac, 2017).

Accompanying fauna comprises limacimid pteropods, bryozoans, scaphopods, ostracods and brachiopods. Sponge spicules were found sporadically (Bošnjak et al., 2017).

6. Discussion

6.1. Stratigraphic age

Stratigraphic studies of the Miocene marine deposits are mainly based upon calcareous nannofossils and foraminifera (e.g. Grill, 1941; Berggren et al., 1995; Cicha et al., 1998; Hohenegger et al., 2007, 2009; Anthonissen and Ogg, 2012). Combined with other (litho-
stratigraphic, magnetostratigraphic, chemostratigraphic) data, generalized chronostratigraphic papers offer a good

framework for Miocene deposits studies (Berggren et al., 1995; Hardenbol et al., 1998; Gradstein et al.,
2004).

Several authors discuss the stratigraphic range of the Middle Miocene transgressive-regressive deposits in Central Paratethys, based upon their palaeontological and/or sedimentological features (Rögl et al., 2008; Hohenegger et al.,
2009, 2014; Janson et al., 2010; Zágoršek et al., 2010; Martinuš et al., 2013; Sant et al., 2015, 2017; Pezelj et al., 2016) (Figures 17 and 18).

Badenian transgressive-regressive sequences were re-
corded at different localities surrounding Medvednica Mt. The Middle Badenian (sensu Hohenegger et al.,
2014; Figure 17) transgressive-regressive sequence TB 2.4 has been presumed for the areas of Ćućerje and Ve-
jačnica (Čorić et al., 2009; Bošnjak et al., 2017; Marko-
vić, 2017; Pavešić and Kovačić, 2018). Approximately 80 km SSE from Medvednica Mt., at the Zrin locality, Martinuš et al. (2013) described fossiliferous, dominantly carbonate succession, stratigraphically attributed to the Nannozones NN4 to NN5. Similarities with the Middle Miocene deposits near Marija Bistrica can be observed particularly in the uppermost part of the Zrin section. A Middle or Upper Badenian age of the transgressive Miocene sequence (sequences TB 2.4 or 2.5) in Gornje Orešje was proposed by Brlek et al. (2016). The Late Badenian 2.5 sequence is generally considered to be widespread around Medvednica Mt. (Pavešić 2001, 2005; Vrsaljko et al., 2006; Pezelj et al.,
2007, 2016; Sremac et al., 2016; Tripalo et al., 2016; Bošnjak, 2017; Pavešić and Kovačić, 2018).

A stratigraphic range of transgressive succession in the study area was discussed by Avanić et al. (2003), who, according to benthic and planktic foraminifera and nannofossils suggested a Middle, or more likely, Late Badenian age (NN6 Nannozone). Nannoplankton analyses from pteropod marls near Marija Bistrica (Bošnjak et al., 2017) indicated a stratigraphic span from the NN5 to NN6 Nannozone (Middle to Late Badenian).

Calcareous nannoplankton (see Table 1), planktic fo-
raminifera and planktic gastropods were determined

Figure 15: a) Bioclastic bryozoan floatstone with scattered solitary corals Flabellum? sp. (C) and large bryozoan colonies (B).
b) Enlarged detail: recrystallized corallite with traces of bioerosion, Gastrochaenolites (Ga) and Entobia (En). Stop T7, Slide MB.2.

Figure 16: Rhodolith packstone to floatstone with Lithothamnion crispatum Hauck and Spongites sp. Some rhodoliths show traces of bioerosion. Thin section MB.1, Stop 7.
Middle Miocene drowned ramp in the vicinity of Marija Bistrica (Northern Croatia)

Figure 17: Correlation of global and regional stratigraphy, polarity chrons, biozones and transgressive-regressive sequences in the Miocene of the Paratethys (after Hohenegger et al., 2014). Global sea-level change and coastal onlap curve after Haq and Al Qahtani (2005). Rectangle marks the most probable age of the transgressive succession in the surrounding of Marija Bistrica.

Figure 18: Correlation of global and regional stratigraphy, foraminiferal and calcareous nannoplankton zones and timing of Bryozoan event in the Miocene of the Paratethys (Holcová and Zágoršek, 2008), with most probable age of the transgressive sequence in the area of Marija Bistrica marked by red rectangle.
from marls at Stop 7 of the studied section. Calcareous nanoplankton assemblage comprises several taxa redeposited from older, probably Oligocene deposits (e.g. *Pontosphaera plana*, *Sphenolithus conicus*, *Transversopontis* sp., see Table 1). The species *Sphenolithus heteromorphus* points to the NN4-NN5 Nannozone span, while *Coccolithus miopelagicus* is present since the NN5 Nannozone (see Table 1), which narrows the stratigraphic span of the studied section to the NN5 Nannozone, despite the lack of the NN5 Zone proxy, *Heliocphaera waltraut*.

The species *Helicosphaera eufratis* according to the Nannotax base last appears within the NN4 Zone. It might be re-deposited from older deposits, or might narrow the proposed time-span of the studied marls to the very beginning of the NN5 Zone.

The pteropod species *Limacina valvatina*, found in marl samples from Stop 7 inhabited wide areas of the Paratethys during the Middle Miocene (Bošnjak et al., 2017 and references therein).

At the same time, in marl samples from Stop 7 abundant specimens of large planktic foraminifera *Orbulina suturalis* and *O. universa* appear together with globigerinids. The assemblage can be correlated with the *Orbulina suturalis* Zone (M6 Plankton Zone, sensu Wade et al., 2011), or eventually with its transition into the *Fohseilla peripheroacuta* Zone (M7 Zone). Both of these zones can be correlated with the NN5 Nannozone (sensu Martini, 1971) (see Figures 17, 18).

The last argument for the suggested Middle Badenian age of the studied deposits is the abundance and diversity of bryozoans, typical for the Paratethys “Bryozoan event” which ended almost immediately after the beginning of the M7 Plankton Zone. Since then, only local findings of bryozoans were recorded (Holcová and Zágoršek, 2008) (see Figure 18).

### 6.2. Palaeoenvironments

Previously described lithotypes indicate the deposition on a slightly inclined ramp subjected to drowning processes. The following palaeoenvironments can be recognized from the fossil assemblages (see Figure 19):

**Beach (Lithotype 1)**

Basal deposits at the studied section are represented by clast-to-matrix-supported polycrystalline conglomerates exposed under the bioclastic Middle Miocene deposits. They are visible between Stops T2 and T5 over a length of at least 25 m forming a continuous layer. Pebbles are rounded bedrock fragments, commonly sized up to 1 cm in diameter, but larger fragments are also present (see Figure 12). They are supported by bioclastic matrix derived from skeletal particles of marine biota. A lack of terrigenous debris in the matrix may indicate a less pronounced topography in the hinterland, low precipitation and low weathering rate common at the end of the Middle Miocene (Böhme et al., 2008, 2011; Bruch et al., 2011). Lithoclasts composed of the surrounding older pre-Cenozoic rocks (diabase, reddish-brown and green sandstone, grey pelagic limestone) are diverse and well rounded, which indicates that they could have been previously eroded from the hinterland, abraded and transported by river(s) as described by Avanić et al. (2003), and later deposited on a beach and shallow sea floor.

**Oyster banks (Lithotype 2)**

The existence of a possible oyster-bank in the study area is presumed on the basis of secondarily found well preserved oyster macrofossils (*Crassostrea gryphoides*, Ostrea sp.).

Local oyster banks (clustered shells) were present in the intertidal zone all along the Middle Miocene Paratethys shores (De Bortoli and Hladilova, 2015 and references therein). Such banks in modern environments are often influenced by input of nutrient rich fresh-water near the river mouths (Gain et al., 2017 and references therein). The existence of the Middle Miocene alluvial clastic deposits in the study area recorded by Avanić et al. (2003) might support this interpretation.

**Lagoons and embayments (Lithotype 3)**

Lagoons and embayments were inhabited by green macroalgae *Halimeda*, branched and rhodolith-forming corallines and bryozoans. They were partly isolated from the open sea by small rhodalgal-bryozoan bioconstructions. Tropical storm waves brought reef debris and even some planktic biota into the lagoons and embayments. Such deposits exhibit grainstone to rudstone microstructure with cortical films and sparry cement, (see Figure 14).

Several authors mention the flourishment of *Halimeda* macroalgae during the Late Miocene (e.g. Mankiewicz, 1988; Braga et al., 1996), but Bucur et al. (2011) describe them from the Middle Miocene deposits of the Transylvanian Basin in Romania, where they also coexisted with red algae and benthic foraminifera.

The species *Hydrolithon lemoinei* (Miranda) and *Spongites fructiculus* Kützing are the most common red algae in this facies (see Figure 6). In studied samples, minute rhodoliths are found together with small bryozoan colonies (see Figure 10). As bryozoans and red algae are competitors, their relative abundance is a key to understand trophic levels. While algae prefer oligotrophic conditions, bryozoans flourish in mesotrophic to eutrophic environments (Zágoršek, 2015 and references therein). The dominance of algae over small-sized bryozoan colonies in this facies points to a low trophic level.

Amphisteginids (benthic foraminifera) present in this facies sometimes bear traces of test corrosion. Their lenticular forms additionally indicate increased water energy and light intensity (Beavington-Penney and Racey, 2004) (see Figure 7).

Bivalves, echinoid spines, barnacle particles and spherical gastropods are also common in such environment (see Figures 9 and 11). Aragonite bivalve shells
(Arca, Megacardita) are dissolved, while calcite oysters were mechanically destructed and present as fragments (see Figures 8 and 9). Dissolution of aragonite shells indicates the influence of fresh water in the vadose zone.

**Patch reefs and surrounding bioclastic deposits (Lithotype 4)**

Reefs and mounds were formed in many places along the shelves of the Central Paratethys during the Middle Miocene. In most cases they are not preserved in situ, but as fragments in bioclastic talus deposits (e.g. Braga et al., 2009 and references therein). Dominant bioconstructors, including the red algae and bryozoans, were described by various authors (e.g. Wilson and Wecsei, 2005; Martinuš et al., 2013; Sremac et al., 2016 and references therein). The Middle Miocene (Badenian) “Bryozoan event”, a bryozoan bloom in the Paratethys, was described in several papers (e.g. Holcova and Zágoršek, 2008; Zágoršek et al., 2008 a,b, 2010; Zágoršek, 2015 and references therein).

Bioclastic slope deposits are characterized by a floatstone texture (see Figure 15). The presence of solitary corals, together with the dominance of bryozoans (forming large colonies) over calcareous algae, point to a somewhat deeper and cooler shelf environment and at least mesotrophic, or even eutrophic conditions. Corals of the genus Flabellum (see Figure 15) do not have zoanthellid symbionts and can live in a wide range of water depths, from 36 up to 2260 m (Buhl-Mortensen et al., 2007). Date shell and eliond sponge borings visible on some coral specimens (see Figure 15) appear mainly in the area battered by waves, but have also been found at the depth of 200 m (Ecen and Çinar, 2015 and references therein). It is also possible that coral and bryozoan fossils are bioclasts transported from other surrounding habitats with previously described environmental conditions.

**Maër (Lithotype 5)**

Rhodolith or maër beds, dominated by free living coralline algae, are common in ancient and modern subtidal environments all over the world, as studied by numerous authors (e.g. Foster et al., 2013; Horta et al., 2016 and references therein). They are formed by aggregations of nongeniculate coralline algae, appear in a high variety of forms and provide suitable habitats for numerous other marine organisms. Their growth is controlled by a combination of light intensity and water motion which prevent their burial and anoxia. Rhodolith beds occur from tropical to polar waters, from the lower intertidal zone down to depths 150 m (Foster et al., 2013). Fossil rhodolith beds are, in most cases, preserved as grainstones.

The rhodolith assemblage from this facies, collected at Stop 7, is accompanied with diverse shelf biota (bryozoans, foraminifera, bivalves, gastropods, tube worms, echinoids). The most common rhodolith producers are Lithothamnion crispatum, today mostly present at depths of 20–25 m, and Spongites sp. which in most cases inhabits subtidal environments up to 75 m depth.

Lithological features and presence of Lithothamnion crispatum indicate a shallow subtidal environment below the fair weather wave base for this type of facies.

**Distal slope (Lithotype 6)**

Marls with dominantly pelagic biota (planktic foraminifera, coccolithophores and limacinid pteropods) point to a distal slope environment (Wilson and Wecsei, 2005; Braga et al., 2009). The depths might extend up to several hundred meters. Aragonite tests are lacking, while common presence of frambooidal pyrite within gastropod tests and in the muddy matrix indicates hypoxic to anoxic conditions at the sea floor (Jansen, 1984; Bošnjak et al., 2017 and references therein).

Orbulinid planktic foraminifera decrease in number in younger marl horizons, while globigerinoid tests become more abundant. Some authors connect such turnover in pelagic communities with a cooling event at the end of the Langhian and the beginning of the Serravalian stage (Zăgoršek et al., 2010).

Due to the diagenetic overprint tests of studied foraminifera were not suitable for isotopic analyses and palaeotemperature interpretations (Repac, 2017).

Figure 19: Reconstruction of palaeoenvironments during the Middle Miocene in the area of today’s Marija Bistrica (assemblages reconstructed after Wilson and Wecsei, 2005; sedimentary features after Braga et al., 2009). Numbers indicate the following environments: 1. Beach and proximal shelf; 2. Oyster banks; 3. Lagoons and embayments; 4. Patch reefs and surrounding bioclastic deposits; 5. Maër; 6. Distal slope. Dimensions not to scale.
The total thickness of the studied section is rather small (ca. 15 m; see Figure 4), but it nevertheless comprises diverse lithofacies units, indicating a carbonate ramp depositional setting. Almost all bioconstructions were destroyed by wave action and can be recognized only from fragments in bioclastic deposits. Planktic foraminifera present in the matrix of basal conglomerates indicate a connection with the pelagic realm. Direct contact between marls and underlying bioclastic deposits is not visible, but it seems, as presented in Figure 4, that they overlie shallow-marine deposits after only a few meters, indicating a rapid sea-level rise and abrupt changes in sedimentary conditions.

7. Conclusions

The Middle Miocene sedimentary succession in the area of Marija Bistrica comprises deposits from different depositional environments.

Polymictic, clast to matrix-supported conglomerates transgressively overlie Palaeozoic and Mesozoic basement rocks. They are moderately sorted, but pebbles are well rounded and supported with bioclastic debris derived from a shallow marine environment. Pebbles, reflecting diverse bedrock lithologies, could have been, at least partly, brought to the seashore by a nearby river.

Oyster banks were formed sporadically in intertidal zone, possibly near the river mouth.

Rhodolith assemblages found in loosely packed bioclastic deposits (packstones to floatstones) are typical for a subtidal zone with depths between 20 and 30 m.

Lagoonal environments were present locally, characterized by green Bryopsidales macroalgae, small rhodoliths, fragile branched bryozoans and gastropods. Oligotrophic conditions lead to the domination of algae over the bryozoans. Evaporation in isolated lagoons enabled the precipitation of sparry calcite and cementation of skeletal grains and formation of cortoid films around bioclasts, thus producing a grainstone to rudstone fabric.

Coralgal-bryozoan bioconstructions represented local barriers between the lagoons and open-sea environment. They were not preserved in situ, but in the form of bioclasts transported to the talus slope, forming floatstone to rudstone deposits. They comprise large bryozoan colonies and bioeroded solitary corals, indicating a higher trophic level in a somewhat deeper environment, possibly between 30 and 75 m.

Marls with pelagic biota (planktic foraminifera, coccolithophores and pteropods) were deposited on a distal slope.

The sea level was rising rapidly, influencing abrupt lithofacies changes in a relatively short time interval.

Planktic biota from marls point to the Middle Miocene (Badenian, Langhian) NN5 Nannoplankton Zone and M6 to M7 Planktic Foraminifera Zone; therefore drowning of the reconstructed ramp most probably corresponds to the transgression during the Middle Miocene TB 2.4 3rd order transgressive-regressive sequence as seen from planktic assemblages (foraminifera and nannoplankton) at some other localities from Croatia (e.g. Čučerje, Vejalnica, Zrin) and the Central Paratethys (e.g. Styrian Basin, Vienna Basin, Alpine-Carpathian Foredeep).
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SAŽETAK

Srednjomiocenska potopljena rampa u okolici Marije Bistrice (sjeverna Hrvatska)

Uz cestu Marija Bistrica – Adamovec, nedaleko od raskrižja prema Lazu, može se na izdancima pratiti slijed srednjomiocenskih naslaga. U bazi slijeda vide se polimiktni, klastpotporni do matrikspotporni konglomerati. Valutice uglavnom odgovaraju sastavu okolnih stijena, a vezivo predstavlja koralinacejski bioklasti koji odgovaraju sastavu okolnih stijena, a vezivo predstavlja koralinacejski bioklasti.

Zbog isparavanja povećala se koncentracija i kristalizacija kalcijeva karbonata, koji je cementirao bioklaste. Oko nekih bioklasta vidljive koralne vrata, a sediment je tipa grejnston.
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